

Journal of Chromatography B, 716 (1998) 57–64

**IOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY B** 

## Anion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatographic assay of plasma lipoproteins of rabbits, rats and mice

Yu Yamaguchi, Masaru Kunitomo, Jun Haginaka\*

*Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, *Mukogawa Women*'*s University*, <sup>11</sup>-68, *Koshien Kyuban*-*cho*, *Nishinomiya* <sup>663</sup>-8179, *Japan*

Received 11 February 1997; received in revised form 26 May 1998; accepted 4 June 1998

### **Abstract**

A fast, accurate and precise high-performance liquid chromatographic assay method has been developed for plasma lipoproteins of experimental animals, rabbits, rats and mice. The method includes complete separation of high, low and very low density lipoproteins from one another within 20 min by a DEAE–glucomannan gel using stepwise elution, and determination by postcolumn reaction with an enzymatic cholesterol reagent as the total cholesterol level. The relative standard deviation of each lipoprotein assay was highly reproducible, being less than 2.0 and 2.4% for repeatability and intermediate precision, respectively. The method was successfully applied to the assays of plasma lipoproteins in three species of normolipidemic and hyperlipidemic animals.  $© 1998$  Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords*: Hypercholesterolemia; Lipoproteins

known as high, low and very low density lipopro- ples, while only a few reports deal with the assay of teins (HDL, LDL and VLDL, respectively) and each the lipoprotein of experimental animals such as lipoprotein plays a defined role in the transport of rabbit, rat and mouse, which are useful for studies of cholesterol and triglycerides. It is known that the hypercholesterolemia, atherosclerosis and their reinitiation and progression of atherosclerosis and its lated diseases. The ultracentrifugation method, which related vascular diseases are facilitated by abnormal is mainly used for the assay of lipoprotein of lipoprotein metabolism, including many kinds of experimental animals, requires a long time for analymodified lipoproteins [1–4]. Thus, it is of vital sis and has low reproducibility and poor resolution. importance to develop a fast, accurate and precise Particularly, the characteristics of lipoproteins differ method for the assay of lipoproteins in plasma and among experimental animals, and between nortissues. molipidemic and hyperlipidemic animals. Thus, it is

**1. Introduction** cipitation cipitation [5], electrophoresis [6], ultracentrifugation [7] and high-performance liquid chromatography The major classes of lipoproteins in plasma are (HPLC) [8–11]. Many reports refer to human sam-The methods employed for separation and de- difficult to separate completely the three lipoproteins termination of these lipoproteins have included pre- in experimental animals by the ultracentrifugation method. HPLC methods previously reported for the \*Corresponding author. assay of animal lipoproteins [12,13] could not attain

<sup>0378-4347/98/\$19.00 © 1998</sup> Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0378-4347(98)00281-3

complete separation of HDL, LDL and VLDL. ent for the different experimental animals, each Recently, we developed an anion-exchange HPLC lipoprotein in plasma was separated by stepwise assay method for human plasma lipoproteins [14], elution using eluents A and B. The flow-rate was where the three human lipoproteins were completely maintained at 1.0 ml/min. The separation was separated by stepwise elution and determined fluoro- carried out at  $25^{\circ}$ C using a water bath (Thermo metrically based on the postcolumn reaction with an Minder Lt-100, Taitec, Saitama, Japan). enzymatic cholesterol reagent as the total cholesterol The same postcolumn reaction conditions reported (TC) level. This paper reports a modified HPLC previously [14] were used for the determination of assay method for the plasma lipoproteins of rabbits, TC levels of each lipoprotein. A cholesterol reagent, rats and mice. Also, the optimized HPLC method cholesteryl ester hydrolase (5  $\mu$ g/ml, 0.7 U/ml), was applied to the assay of the lipoproteins in three cholesterol oxidase (20  $\mu$ g/ml, 0.4 U/ml), peroxispecies of normolipidemic and hyperlipidemic ani-<br>dase (50  $\mu$ g/ml, 7 U/ml) and homovanillic acid mals. The mals are the mals and the mals of the mals of the mals of the mals and the mals of the mals

*Streptomyces* sp, peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7; 134 U/ of 420 nm using a RF-535 spectrofluorometer mg) from horseradish and cholesteryl ester hydrolase (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). To determine the TC (EC 3.1.1.13; 144 U/mg) from *Pseudomonas* sp level of HDL, LDL and VLDL (HDL-TC, LDL-TC were purchased from Toyobo (Osaka, Japan), and VLDL-TC, respectively), the TC of a sample homovanillic acid from Tokyo Kasei (Tokyo, Japan), (the sum of HDL-TC, LDL-TC and VLDL-TC) was and Triton X-100 and EDTA from Nacalai Tesque measured according to our previously reported meth- (Kyoto, Japan). Lipid Calibrator from Wako (Osaka, od [17]. The peak area of each lipoprotein was Japan) was used for the standard solution of TC. proportional to the TC level of the lipoprotein. HDL-Other chemicals of analytical reagent grade were TC, LDL-TC and VLDL-TC were calculated from purchased from Nacalai Tesque and used without the relative peak areas of the three HPLC peaks and further purification. Water purified with a Milli Q Jr. TC levels. (Millipore, Tokyo, Japan) was used for the prepara- For size-exclusion chromatography, a TSK-gel tion of the eluents. Lipopropak column (300 mm×7.5 mm I.D.) and

reported previously [14]. Briefly, DEAE–gluco- detection of lipoproteins. mannan gel [15,16], which is not commercially available at present, was kindly donated by Kurita 2.3. *Animals* Industries (Tokyo, Japan). It was packed into a 50  $mm \times 4.6 \text{ mm}$  I.D. column using water as the slurry Male Japanese white (JW) rabbits (about 2 kg), and packing solvent and used for the separation of normolipidemic rabbits, were purchased from Shimthe three lipoproteins. The eluents used were as izu Laboratory Animals (Kyoto, Japan) and both follows: eluent A, 20 m*M* sodium phosphate buffer male and female Watanabe heritable hyperlipidemic (pH 7.0) containing  $1\times10^{-3}$  *M* EDTA; eluent B, (WHHL) rabbits (2–2.5 kg), hyperlipidemic rabbits, 500 m*M* sodium chloride containing  $1\times10^{-3}$  *M* were obtained from our colony bred from mating

phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 0.2% Triton X-100, and delivered at a flow-rate of 0.5 ml/min. **2. Experimental** Further, a 0.1 *M* sodium hydroxide solution was delivered at a flow-rate of 0.5 ml/min to alkalize the 2.1. *Materials and chemicals* effluent. After the postcolumn reaction with these reagents, lipoproteins were detected at an excitation Cholesterol oxidase (EC 1.1.3.6; 18 U/mg) from wavelength of 325 nm and an emission wavelength

eluent for the analysis of lipoproteins, which were 2.2. *Chromatography* kindly donated by Tosoh (Tokyo, Japan), were used. The flow-rate was 1.0 ml/min. The same postcolumn The experimental setup used in this study is as reaction conditions described above were used for

EDTA. Although the optimal conditions were differ- pairs of homozygous WHHL rabbits kindly supplied

Faculty of Medicine, Kyoto University, Japan), 50 µl 0.15 *M* EDTA solution. originally obtained from Dr. Y. Watanabe (Institute for Experimental Animals, School of Medicine, 2.7. *Electrophoresis* Kobe University, Japan). Both rabbits were fed standard rabbit chow (RC-4, Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel elec-Japan). trophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of HDL, LDL and VLDL

and ICR mice (both animals from Japan SLC, formed as described by Laemmlis [19]. Aliquots of Hamamatsu, Japan) were maintained for 8 weeks on the HDL, LDL and VLDL fractions were loaded on a basal diet or a high-cholesterol diet. The basal diet SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Brillian contained 20% casein, 63.2% sucrose, 10% corn oil, Blue. 2% agar, 0.8% vitamin mixture and 4% salt mixture. The high-cholesterol diet consisted of the basal diet 2.8. *Method validation*: *repeatability*, *intermediate* including 1.5% cholesterol and 0.5% cholic acid. All *precision and calibration graph* animals were housed in an air-conditioned room  $(23\pm1\degree C, 60\pm10\degree$  humidity) under an artificial 12- The repeatability and intermediate precision data h light/dark cycle (7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.). Each were obtained from the assay of a plasma sample animal was allowed free access to their diets and from normolipidemic animals. The samples were

molipidemic and hyperlipidemic animals. Blood was drawn into tubes containing EDTA at a final con-<br>centration of 1 mg/ml. Plasma was separated by **3. Results and discussion** centrifugation (1500 *g* for 10 min) from blood,<br>stored at 4°C and used for HPLC assay within 7 3.1. Separation of HDL, LDL and VLDL days. Previously, we attained separation of lipoproteins

The HDL fraction was separated from a portion of tation method. the plasma samples by the heparin–manganese pre- In the present study we tried to separate each

by Dr. T. Kita (Department of Geriatric Medicine, a 50 ml aliquot of the HDL fraction was mixed with

Six-week-old male Sprague–Dawley (SD) rats fractions separated by the HPLC method was per-

water. Stored at 4<sup>o</sup>C until assay. The repeatability and intermediate precision tests were performed for five 2.4. *Preparation of blood samples* and three replicates, respectively. The calibration graph was checked as described previously [14], and Blood samples were obtained from various nor-<br>was linear with a correlation coefficient of 0.998.

in human plasma by the HPLC method using a 2.5. *Ultracentrifugation method* DEAE–glucomannan gel and stepwise elution [14]. The VLDL fractions  $(d<1.006 g/ml)$  of ex-<br>perimental animals were separated by ultracentrifu-<br>gation (400 000g for 250 min at 4°C) from plasma<br>samples using a Beckman TL-100E ultracentrifuge<br>with a TLA 100.1 fixed-angle roto VLDL. The TC level of each lipoprotein obtained 2.6. *Precipitation method* with the optimized HPLC method agreed well with that obtained with the ultracentrifugation or precipi-

cipitation procedure [18]. In order to prevent the lipoprotein of the experimental animals based on the inhibitory action of Mn<sup>2+</sup> on the enzymatic reaction, HPLC method used for the determination of human



Time (min)	Eluent B $(\% )$			
	Rabbit	Rat	Mouse	
$0 - 2$	$\theta$	$_{0}$	$\theta$	
$2 - 7$	35	55	50	
$7 - 12$	55	70	40	
$12 - 22$	100	100	100	

a Eluent A, 20 m*M* sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  *M* EDTA; eluent B, 500 m*M* sodium chloride containing

plasma lipoproteins with a slight modification. Two eluents, A and B, which were 20 m*M* sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 500 m*M* sodium rated lipoprotein fractions, as shown in Fig. 3. This chloride (both including 10<sup>-3</sup> *M* EDTA), respective- shows that the VLDL fraction includes apolipoproly, were used for stepwise elution. As shown in teins B, C and E, the LDL fraction only apolipo-Table 1, the HDL, LDL and VLDL of rabbits were protein B, and the HDL fraction apolipoproteins A, eluted with 25, 55 and 100% eluent B, respectively; C and E. The HDL fraction included a large amount and VLDL were separated completely within 20 min, the HDL was detected as the total cholesterol level. as shown in Fig. 1. As shown in Fig. 2, the HDL precipitation and ultracentrifugation VLDL fractions of a mouse plasma sample included only HDL and VLDL, respectively. Further, apolipoproteins included in the HDL, LDL and VLDL fractions were examined by SDS-PAGE to characterize the sepa-



Fig. 1. Chromatograms of normolipidemic plasma samples from rabbit, rat and mouse. A  $10$ - $\mu$ l aliquot of each plasma sample was injected. HPLC conditions: column, a 50 mm $\times$ 4.6 mm I.D. stainless column packed with a DEAE–glucomannan gel; eluents, 20 m*M* sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  *M* EDTA for eluent A and 500 m*M* sodium chloride containing  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  *M* EDTA for eluent B; flow-rate, 1.0 ml/min; detection, Fig. 3. SDS-PAGE of VLDL, LDL and HDL fractions separated excitation wavelength at 325 nm and emission wavelength at 420 by the optimized HPLC method. Aliquots of lipoproteins were nm. For the stepwise elution pattern for each animal, see Table 1. loaded on SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Brillian Blue. For the postcolumn reaction conditions, see Experimental section. The position of each apolipoprotein is indicated by an arrow.



 $1 \times 10^{-3}$  *M* EDTA, Check B, 500 hm, solution is 100% eluent A. Fig. 2. HPLC separation of the HDL fraction obtained by the heparin–manganese precipitation procedure (A) and the VLDL fraction obtained by ultracentrifugation (B) in mice. HPLC conditions as in Fig. 1.

60, 75 and 100% eluent B for rats and 50, 60 and of albumin. However, this did not interfere with the 100% eluent B for mice were used. The HDL, LDL HDL assay by the stepwise HPLC method, because



Lipoprotein	Total cholesterol (%)	Triglycerides (%)	Phospholipids (%)
HDL	37.1	14.4	48.5
<b>LDL</b>	44.2	28.7	27.1
<b>VLDL</b>	22.3	19.1	58.6

Table 2 Lipid compositions of HDL, LDL and VLDL fractions separated by  $HPLC<sup>a</sup>$  in a normolipidemic rabbit

a Experimental conditions given in the text.

Table 2 shows the lipid compositions of the HDL, LDL and VLDL fractions separated by the optimized HPLC method in normolipidemic rabbit. The lipid compositions of the three lipoproteins in rabbit plasma samples obtained by the present authors were consistent with the results reported by Pescador [20]. These results reveal that the three HPLC peaks in Fig. 1 should correspond to HDL, LDL and VLDL. Similar results were obtained for other animal samples.

The concentration of sodium chloride required for elution of HDL and LDL varied with the animal species. Alexander and Day [21] reported that the migration patterns of lipoproteins in agarose gel electrophoresis were very different for animals of several species. Our results agreed with theirs. These results reveal that the HPLC conditions are required to be optimized individually in order to separate and Fig. 4. Chromatograms of plasma samples from JW (A) and

# *size*-*exclusion HPLC methods*

Table 3 shows cross-validation data between the between the two methods. As shown in Fig. 4, HDL optimized HPLC method and a size-exclusion HPLC was separated from LDL and VLDL, while LDL method using a TSK-gel Lipopropak column. Good overlapped with VLDL with the size-exclusion correlation was obtained for the HDL and LDL+ HPLC method. Further, the HDL in WHHL rabbit



determine each lipoprotein of the experimental ani-<br>WHHL (B) rabbits. A 10-µl aliquot of each plasma sample was mals. injected. HPLC conditions: column, TSK-gel Lipopropak (300 mm×7.5 mm I.D.); eluent, eluent for analysis of lipoprotein (Tosoh); flow-rate, 1.0 ml/min. For the postcolumn reaction 3.2. *Cross*-*validation with the optimized HPLC and* conditions, see Experimental section.

VLDL values of normolipidemic plasma samples plasma is observed with a very small shoulder. Thus,

Table 3

Cross-validation data for the optimized anion-exchange HPLC and size-exclusion HPLC methods on normolipidemic plasma of rabbits and mice [each lipoprotein-TC level/plasma-TC level (%)]

		Rabbit plasma		Mouse plasma	
	HPLC method:	Anion-exchange	Size-exclusion	Anion-exchange	Size-exclusion
HDL		$23.9 \pm 1.5$	$25.9 \pm 3.5$	$83.0 \pm 0.3$	$85.6 \pm 0.4$
$LDL+VLDL$		$76.1 \pm 1.7$	$74.1 \pm 4.3$	$17.0 \pm 0.4$	$14.4 \pm 0.3$

Each value represents mean $\pm$ SE. The number of animals used was three.

Lipoprotein	Repeatability <sup>b</sup>			Intermediate precision		
	Mean peak area $(\times mV·s \times 10^5)$	Estimated TC level <sup>e</sup> $(mg/100 \text{ ml})$	RSD <sup>d</sup> (%)	Mean peak area $(\times mV·s \times 10^5)$	Estimated TC level <sup>e</sup> $(mg/100 \text{ ml})$	$RSDd(\%)$
<b>HDL</b>	1.372	13.9	1.4	1.394	14.1	2.3
<b>LDL</b>	3.284	33.3	1.5	3.249	32.9	2.4
<b>VLDL</b>	0.321	3.3	2.0	0.326	3.4	1.7

Table 4 Repeatability and intermediate precision data for assay of normolipidemic plasma<sup>a</sup>

a Experimental conditions are given in the text.

<sup>b</sup>Five replicates.

<sup>c</sup>Three replicates.

d Relative standard deviation.

e Total cholesterol.

plasma samples were compared for the two methods. normolipidemic JW rabbits. The main lipoprotein

Table 4 shows the repeatability and intermediate edly. precision of HDL, LDL and VLDL assays in the The main lipoprotein in the plasma from norplasma sample of a normolipidemic rabbit. The molipidemic rats and mice was HDL. The plasma relative standard deviation of each lipoprotein assay TC levels of rats and mice were increased by feeding was highly reproducible, being less than 2.0 and the high-cholesterol diet. The main lipoprotein in-2.4% for repeatability and intermediate precision, creased was LDL in rat plasma and VLDL in mice respectively. plasma. Increased LDL-TC and VLDL-TC levels in

main lipoprotein in normolipidemic rabbit plasma each lipoprotein even if the differences are very was LDL. The plasma TC level of hyperlipidemic large. This is ascribable to the complete separation of

the HDL and LDL+VLDL values of normolipidemic WHHL rabbits was markedly higher than that of increase was LDL in WHHL rabbit plasma. This 3.3. *Repeatability and intermediate precision* result is consistent with a previous report [22] that the LDL-TC level of WHHL rabbits increases mark-

TC levels of rats and mice were increased by feeding hyperlipidemic rats and mice, respectively, have 3.4. *Application to determination of plasma* been reported previously [23,24]. Typical chromato*lipoproteins* grams of normolipidemic plasma and hyperlipidemic plasma from rabbit, rat and mouse are shown in Fig. Table 5 shows the HDL-TC, LDL-TC, VLDL-TC 5. The LDL-TC level of WHHL rabbits was 86-fold and TC levels of plasma samples from three species higher than the HDL-TC level, as shown in Fig. 5. of normolipidemic and hyperlipidemic animals. The The present method can determine the TC level of

Table 5

Quantitation of total cholesterol level for each lipoprotein in normolipidemic and hyperlipidemic plasma from rabbits, rats and mice (mg/100 ml)

	Rabbit		Rat		Mouse	
	Normolipidemic	Hyperlipidemic <sup>a</sup>	Normolipidemic	Hyperlipidemic <sup>b</sup>	Normolipidemic	Hyperlipidemic <sup>t</sup>
Plasma TC	$46.4 \pm 2.5$	$505 \pm 14$	$67.0 \pm 1.3$	$169.2 \pm 1.7$	$181 \pm 2$	$358 \pm 19$
HDL-TC	$11.1 \pm 1.0$	$5 \pm 1$	$45.6 \pm 1.5$	$58.1 \pm 0.7$	$150 \pm 2$	$102 + 7$
LDL-TC	$32.0 \pm 1.6$	$430 \pm 13$	$18.8 \pm 0.2$	$107.2 \pm 1.2$	$15 \pm 1$	$126 + 7$
VLDL-TC	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	$70 + 7$	$2.6 \pm 0.1$	$3.9 \pm 0.2$	$16 + 1$	$130 \pm 7$

Each value represents mean $\pm$ SE.

<sup>a</sup> WHHL rabbits.

**b** Animals fed the high-cholesterol diet.



Fig. 5. Chromatograms of normolipidemic and hyperlipidemic plasma samples from rabbit, rat and mouse. A 10-µl aliquot of each plasma sample was injected. The HPLC conditions are as in Fig. 1.

each lipoprotein. The TC levels of the three lipopro- modified lipoproteins in plasma and serum has been teins in rabbit and rat plasma samples obtained by confirmed by many investigators [27–30]. The broad the present authors are very similar to the results peak of the LDL of a WHHL rabbit plasma sample reported by Kieft et al. [13]. These results reveal that (Fig. 5) may be due to the concomitant elution of a the optimized HPLC method is suitable for the modified LDL. On the other hand, the  $\beta$ -VLDL determination of the lipoproteins of experimental might be eluted with VLDL in hyperlipidemic mice animals. fed a high-cholesterol diet (Fig. 5). Further study is

and  $\beta$ -VLDL play an important role in the initiation fied LDL and  $\beta$ -VLDL in plasma. of atherosclerosis [25,26]. Recently, the existence of We conclude that the present optimized HPLC

It has been reported that oxidatively modified LDL required to clarify the presence of oxidatively modi-

completely separate each lipoprotein and determine<br>the TC level of each lipoprotein in normolipidemic<br>and hyperlipidemic plasma from experimental ani-<br>and hyperlipidemic plasma from experimental ani-<br>268 (1993) 17924. mals. [13] K.A. Keift, T.M.A. Bocan, B.R. Krause, J. Lipid Res. 32

We wish to thank Kurita Industries (Tokyo, Japan) [16] J. Haginaka, H. Morita, N. Matsushita, Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo for the kind donation of the DEAE–glucomannan Koho JP 07 89,984 [95 98,984] (1995) (Chem. Abstr. No.<br>
col This work was partly supported by a Grant in 123:51725f). gel. This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-<br>Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of [17] M. Kunitomo, Y. Yamaguchi, K. Matsushima, Y. Bando, Jpn.<br>Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan (No. [18] G.R. 10672032 to J.H.) and a grant from the Smoking [19] R.P. Nobel, J. Lipid Res. 9 (1968) 693. Research Foundation (M.K.). [20] R. Pescador, Life Sci. 23 (1978) 1851.

- [1] D. Steinberg, Arteriosclerosis 3 (1983) 283. macol. 108 (1993) 1055.
- Acad. Sci. USA 76 (1979) 333. J.C. Fruchart, Atherosclerosis 70 (1988) 107.
- Witztum, N. Engl. J. Med. 320 (1989) 915. Witztum, N. Engl. J. Med. 320 (1989) 915.
- [4] M. Yokode, T. Kita, H. Arai, C. Kawai, S. Narumiya, M. [26] I. Ishii, M. Oka, N. Katto, K. Shirai, Y. Saito, S. Hirose, Fujiwara, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85 (1987) 2344. Arterioscler. Thromb. 12 (1992) 1139.
- 
- [6] K.A. Narayan, S. Narayan, F.A. Kummerow, Nature 205 Pathol. 135 (1989) 815. (1965) 246. [28] K. Kotani, M. Maekawa, T. Kanno, A. Kondo, N. Toda, M.
- 
- Chem. Lett. (1986) 1487. 4 (1990) 131.
- [9] Y. Ohno, M. Okazaki, I. Hara, J. Biochem. 89 (1981) 1675. [30] H.N. Hodis, D.M. Kramsch, P. Avogaro, G. Bittolo-Bon, G.
- Gross, Clin. Chem. 39 (1991) 2276. 35 (1994) 669.
- method is fast, accurate and precise. Also, it can [11] U. Matsumoto, H. Nakayama, Y. Shibusawa, T. Nimura, J. completely concrete each linear stin and determine
	-
	- (1991) 859.
- [14] J. Haginaka, Y. Yamaguchi, M. Kunitomo, Anal. Biochem. **Acknowledgements** 232 (1995) 163.<br> **Acknowledgements** [15] H. Morita, A. Kitazawa, T. Tomoda, Chromatography 9
	- (1988) 20.
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- [21] C. Alexander, C.E. Day, Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 46 B (1973) 295.
- [22] K. Tanzawa, Y. Shimada, M. Kuroda, Y. Tsujita, M. Arai, H. **References** Watanabe, Fed. Eur. Biochem. Soc. Lett. 118 (1980) 81.
	- [23] S-M. Yu, Y-F. Kang, C-C. Chen, C-M. Teng, Br. J. Phar-
- [2] J.L. Goldstein, Y.K. Ho, S.K. Basu, M.S. Brown, Proc. Natl. [24] P. Olivier, M.O. Plancke, D. Marzin, V. Clavey, J. Sauzieres,
- [3] D. Steinberg, S. Parthasarathy, T.E. Carew, J.C. Khoo, J.L. [25] D. Steinberg, S. Parthasarathy, T.E. Carew, J.C. Khoo, J.L.
	-
- [5] M. Burstein, H.R. Scholnick, Adv. Lipid Res. 11 (1973) 67. [27] H.C. Boyd, A.M. Gown, G. Wolfbauer, A. Chait, Am. J.
- [7] F.T. Hatch, R.S. Lees, Adv. Lipid Res. 6 (1968) 1. Manabe, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1215 (1994) 121.
- [8] K. Makino, I. Sasaki, T. Takeuchi, M. Umino, I. Hara, [29] T. Miyazawa, K. Fujimoto, S. Oikawa, Biomed. Chromatogr.
- [10] W. Marz, R. Siekmeier, H. Scharnagl, U.B. Seiffert, W. ¨ Cazzolato, J. Hwang, H. Peterson, A. Sevenian, J. Lipid Res.